IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.
CRIMINAL APPLICATION No.2417 of 2007

Vaijnath Dinkar Patil ..Applicant.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent.

Mr Sudeep Pasbola, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr K. V. Saste, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.C. DAGA,J.

DATED: 25TH JULY, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. Perused the application and the material available on record.

3. This is the second successive application for bail. The first bail application came to be rejected by this Court vide order dated 23.2.2007 passed in Criminal Application No. 194 of 2007 with 255 of 2007 and with 285 of 2007. However, while rejecting the bail application, this Court has expedited the trial.

4. Learned APP submits that the trial has already begun. The charges are being framed. This statement made by the learned APP is not challenged by the learned counsel for the applicant.

5. In the above view of the matter, neither any case based on any change of circumstance is made out nor on merits view contrary to earlier one is possible.

6. In the result, the application is rejected.

(V.C. DAGA,J.)